





- Automobiles (1)
- Boost Gauge (1)
- Comparison to turbocharging (1)
- Effects of fuel octane rating (1)
- Supercharging and turbocharging
   (1)
- Turbocharger (1)
- Twincharger (1)
- Two-stage and two-speed
   superchargers (1)

## Supercharging and turbocharging

The term supercharging technically refers to any pump that forces air into an engine—but in common usage, it refers to pumps that are driven directly by the engine as opposed to turbochargers that are driven by the pressure of the exhaust gases.

Positive displacement superchargers may absorb as much as a third of the total crankshaft power of the engine, and in many applications are less efficient than turbochargers. In applications where engine response



and power is more important than any other consideration, such as top-fuel dragsters and vehicles used in tractor pulling competitions, positive displacement superchargers are extremely common. Superchargers are generally the reason why tuned engines have a distinct high-pitched whine upon acceleration.

There are three main styles of supercharger for automotive use:

- Centrifugal turbochargers—driven from exhaust gases.
- Centrifugal superchargers—driven directly by the engine via a belt-drive.
- Positive displacement pumps—such as the Roots, Lysholm (Whipple) and TVS (Eaton) blowers.

Types of supercharger (1)



blogcatalog



8.5



Manual traffic exchange

Changing LINKS

Link Directory

Automotix

**Directory of Autos Blogs** 

**Dmegs Web Directory** 

Firefox 3

Submit site for free

The thermal efficiency, or fraction of the fuel/air energy that is converted to output power, is less

with a mechanically driven supercharger than with a turbocharger, because turbochargers are using energy from the exhaust gases that would normally be wasted. For this reason, both the economy and the power of a turbocharged engine are usually better than with superchargers. The main advantage of an engine with a mechanically driven supercharger is better throttle response, as well as the ability to reach full boost pressure instantaneously. With the latest Turbo Charging technology, throttle response on turbocharged cars is nearly as good as with mechanical powered superchargers, but the existing lag time is still considered a major drawback. Especially considering that the vast majority of mechanically driven superchargers are now driven off clutched pulleys, much like an air compressor.

Roots blowers tend to be 40–50% efficient at high boost levels. Centrifugal Superchargers are 70–85% efficient. Lysholm-style blowers can be nearly as efficient as their centrifugal counterparts over a narrow range of load/speed/boost, for which the system must be specifically designed. Keeping the air that enters the engine cool is an important part of the design of both superchargers and turbochargers. Compressing air makes it hotter—so it is common to use a small radiator called an intercooler between the pump and the engine to reduce the temperature of the air. Picking any method of compression that cannot support the mass of airflow needed for the engine

creates excessive heat in the air/fuel charge temperatures. This is true with all forms of

supercharging. It is critical to not under-size the component.

Turbochargers also suffer (to a greater or lesser extent) from so-called turbo-spool in which initial acceleration from low RPMs is limited by the lack of sufficient exhaust gas mass flow (pressure). Once engine RPM is sufficient to start the turbine spinning, there is a rapid increase in power as higher turbo boost causes more exhaust gas production—which spins the turbo yet faster, leading to a belated "surge" of acceleration. This makes the maintenance of smoothly increasing RPM far harder with turbochargers than with belt-driven superchargers which apply boost in direct proportion to the engine RPM.

Turbo-spool is often confused with the term turbo-lag. Turbo-lag refers to how long it takes to spool the turbo up when there is sufficient engine speed to create boost. This is greatly affected by the specifications of the turbocharger. If the turbocharger is too large for the power-band that is desired, needless time will be wasted trying to spool-up the turbocharger.

By correctly choosing a turbocharger, for its use, response time can be improved to the point of being nearly instant. Many well-matched turbochargers can provide boost at cruising speeds.

Modern practice is to use two small turbos rather than one larger one, see Sequential, Twin and Compound turbochargers below.

Centrifugal superchargers suffer from a form of turbo spool. Due to the fact that the impeller speed is directly proportional to the engine RPM, the pressure and flow output at low RPM is limited, thus it is possible for the demand to outweigh the supply and a vacuum is created until the impeller reaches its compression threshold. This is not a great problem for aero-engines that almost always operate in the top half of their power output, but it is not much help in a car.

Labels: Supercharging and turbocharging

## **Automobiles**

In cars, this device is used to increase the "effective displacement" and volumetric efficiency of an engine; it is a blower that pushes the fuel air into the cylinders,



as if the engine had larger valves and cylinders, resulting in a "larger" engine that weighs less.

In 1900, Gottlieb Daimler, of Daimler-Benz (Daimler AG), was the first to patent a forced-induction system for internal combustion engines, superchargers based



the twin-rotor air-pump design, first patented by the American Francis Roots in 1860, the basic design for the modern Roots type supercharger.

The first supercharged car was the 1921 Mercedes 6/25/40 PS (road car). The next supercharged cars were almost all racing cars, including the 1923 Fiat 805-405, 1924 Alfa Romeo P2, 1924 Sunbeam, 1925 Miller, and the Delage, 1926 Bugatti Type 35C. At the end of the 1920s, Bentley made a supercharged version of the Bentley 4½ Litre road car. Since then, superchargers (and turbochargers) are widely applied to racing and production cars, although the supercharger's technological complexity and cost have largely limited it to expensive, high-performance cars. Boosting (attaching a supercharger) to a stock production naturally-aspirated engine, has returned as a practice, because of the increased quality of the alloys and the precision of the machining of modern engines. In the past, boosting greatly shortened engine life, because of the extremely high temperatures and pressures created by the supercharger, however, modern engines, made of modern materials, are over-designed to be stronger than required, thus, boosting is not a serious reliability concern. Commonly, boosting is done with small cars, the added supercharger's weight is less than the weight of a larger, greater-power engine. This increases the fuel/mileage ratio, because mileage is a function of the car's total weight, most of which is the engine. Nevertheless, adding a supercharger often voids the car's drive-train warranty. Moreover, an improperly installed supercharger, or one with excessive boost, will greatly reduce the life of engine, the differential, and the transmission, because they were not originally designed and made to operate at the additional, greater rates of speed, time, and torque.



Labels: Automobiles

Supercharger Versus Turbocharger Subscribe to: Posts (Atom)